The ALBA project II

Wednesday 24 Nov 2010 at 10:00 (01h00')

Primary authors : Dr. BORDAS, Joan (ALBA CELLS)

Co-authors :

Presenter : Dr. BORDAS, Joan (ALBA CELLS)



ALBA





An accelerator complex for a synchrotron light source





First order choices:

Accelerator energy of 3 GeV to have some overlap with the ESRF and to use undulators to deliver intermediate energy X-rays (i.e. 10-20 keV).

The natural emittance should be $\varepsilon_x < 5$ nm.rad. We would have liked a smaller emittance but it quickly became clear that the size of budget and site did not allow it.

Other decisions were that the accelerator should:

operate in the top-up mode; use FOFB to achieve sub-µm stability; base the RF system on HOM free cavities (not superconducting); have a bean lifetime > 10 h; have a lattice energy acceptance > 3%, and; contain as many as possible straight sections for user IDs.

(at the end 38% of perimeter is devoted to SS)



Many SR lattices were investigated, the most promising among them were a:

4-fold, 16 cell TBA: ε_x = 1.8 nm.rad, 16 straights, but showed a bad dynamic aperture (DA) and a poor lifetime. 4-fold, 16 cell expanded DBA: ε_x = 4.3 nmrad, 24 straights,

good DA and lifetime.

3-fold, 18 cell DBA: ε_x = 2.7 nm.rad, but has a smaller DA, shorter lifetime, and larger beam cross-section.

4-fold, 16 cell DBA: ε_x = 4.3 nm.rad, 16 straights, chromaticity is

rather large.

Decision: use the 4-fold, 16 cell expanded DBA!



Complex of accelerators











	<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Single-</u> <u>bunch</u>	<u>Multi-</u> bunch
	Frequenc y	3 GHz	3 GHz
	Bunch length	< 1ns (FWHM)	0.3 to 1µs
	Charge	≥ 2 nC	≥ 4 nC
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	Energy	≥100Me V	≥100MeV
	Pulse to pulse (δE)	≤0.25 % (rms)	≤0.25 % (rms)
	Energy spread (ΔΕ/Ε)	≤0.5 % (rms)	≤0.5 % (rms)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Norm. Emitt. (1σ _{x,y})	≤ 30 π mm mrad	≤ 30 π mm mrad
	Repetition rate	3 to 5 Hz	3 to 5 Hz

LAY-OUT AND SPECIFICATIONS



LINAC:



- 🔠 Quadrupole
- 90 kV thermo-ionic gun; 500 MHz sub-harmonic pre-buncher; 3GHz pre-buncher, and; 3 GHz, 22 cells standing wave buncher. Bunching system minimises energy spread and losses; ca. 80% transmission from gun to LINAC exit.
- Two travelling wave, constant gradient accelerating section increase energy up to 125 MeV.
- Two TH2100 pulsed klystrons feed accelerating sections and 3GHz buncher; an independent RF amplifier feeds the 500 MHz and 3 GHz pre-bunchers.
 - Beam focusing achieved by solenoids up to bunching section, and a triplet of quadrupoles between the two accelerating sections.







.

Gun and Bunching System

500 MHZ and 3 GHz

3 GHz buncher

pre-buncher

.

1000

THALES





Glaser lens and 1st Accelerator Structure



3 GHz buncher, glaser lens and 1st accel-struct.



1st Accelerator-structure (16 to 66 MeV)



Quadrupole triplet between the accelerating structures



2nd Accelerating Structure, Transfer and Diagnostics Line





Linac Specifications and performance

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Specs</u>	<u>Measurements</u>	
Bunch length	1ns (FWHM)	0.420 ns	
Charge	≥1.5nC	1.96 nC (8 pulses, spaced 50ns each)	
Energy	≥100MeV	108 MeV*	
Energy variation, ptp	≤0.25 % (rms)	0.08%	
Relative energy spread	≤0.5 % (rms)	0.28%	
Norm. Emittance (1σ)	≤30 mm mrad	ε _x = 25 mm mrad	V
	(both planes)	ε _y = 25 mm mrad	\checkmark
Single bunch purity	Better than 1%	< 3%**	
Pulse to pulse time iitter	≤100ps (rms)	≤28ps (rms)	
Repetition rate	3 to 5 Hz	1-3 Hz***	



LINAC TO BOOSTER TRANSFER LINE



LINAC to Booster Transfer Line





LINAC to Booster Transfer Line



Conditions at LINAC's exit:

 $\epsilon_{norm} = 30 \ \pi \ mm.mrad; \ \epsilon_{100} = 150 \ \pi \ mm.mrad;$ $\beta = 2 \ to \ 10 \ m/rad; \ \alpha = -2 \ to \ 0, \ and; \ \Delta E/E = 0.005$









<u>Booster lattice:</u>

- Design uses defocusing bending magnets and focusing quadrupoles;
- Sextupole components within the bending magnets and quadrupoles;
- *achieves low emittance, higher flexibility and lower costs, and;*

 $\varepsilon_x = 10 \text{ nm.rad}$





Booster Synchrotron

Beam size at injection: $\varepsilon_x = 140 \text{ nm.rad}; 100\% \text{ coupling.}$ $\sigma_{x,tot} = 1.3 \text{ mm}, \sigma_{y,tot} = 1.25 \text{ mm}$









BOOSTER TO SK TRANSFER LINE



Booster to Storage Ring Transfer Line





The injection scheme for a 3rd generation light source:

All elements (4 kickers and one septum) have to be in one straight. For 3 GeV the length has to be at least 8 m.

Diamond's concept





Booster to Storage Ring transfer line





Booster to Storage Ring Transfer Line



<u>Beam size at injection</u>: $\sigma_{x,tot} = 0.5 \text{ mm and } \sigma_{y,tot} = 0.2 \text{ mm}$











It was decided to use combined bending magnets because they have two advantages, i.e.:

- 1) reduction of the emittance by roughly 30 % because of Jx, and;
- 2) results in a more compact machine and, therefore, there is more space for insertion devices.





Machine functions within two Unit Cell





Machine functions within the matching section





Beam-lines and experimental stations



In a third generation SL source it is important to have as many as possible straight sections for housing IDs. However, large perimeter means significantly greater costs. Therefore, it is important to optimise the ratio of straight sections to the total perimeter of the SR.

This has played a major role in the design of the lattice of ALBA's Storage Ring.





It is also important to try to optimise the lattice design so that the possible trade between source size and collimation is used to tailor points of light extraction to specific needs - e.g. spectroscopy benefits from collimation, whilst imaging benefits from a small and round source size -.



<u>SUMMARY OF THE PARAMETERS OF THE</u> <u>4-FOLD, 16 CELL, EXPANDED DBA LATTICE:</u>

 $E = 3.0 \text{ GeV} \qquad C =$

C = 268.8 m

4 straight sections 8 m long each:
12 straight sections 4.3 m long each:
8 straight sections 2.58 m long each:
32 Bending Magnets:

 ϵ ca. 4.5 nm.rad

3 useful for Beam-lines 12 useful for Beam-lines 2 useful for Beam-lines 16 useful for Beam-lines i.e. 33 FE for beam-lines

Source point	$\beta_{x}(m)$	α _x	$\beta_{y}(m)$	α_{y}	D _x (m)	D' _x	$\sigma_{x}(\mu m)$	σ'_{x} (µrad)	$\sigma_{y}(\mu m)$	σ'_{y} (µrad)
Long S.	10.20	0	5.22	0.05	0.15	0	263	$\overline{21}$	15	3
Med-1 S	. 1.98	0	1.153	0.05	0.09	0	133	47	7	6
Med-2-S	. 1.98	0	1.21	0.10	0.09	0	133	47	7	6
Short S.	8.63	0	5.79	0.04	0.23	0	310	23	16	3
Bending	0.36	0.25	23.93	0.83	0.02	0.02	44	116	32	2







Front Ends

General layout

FMB design (example for FE02)





Front Ends





EPS: Equipment protection system!

PSS: Personnel Safety System! Shield walls, radiation shutters, shadow shields, Bremsstrahlung shutters, etc..

Redundancy and diversity!

Needed defining accurately and soon! CSN license takes time.



A REQUIREMENT ON CELLS WAS TO DEFINE THE SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL OBJECTIVES OF THE BEAM-LINES.

THIS PROCESS OF DEFINITION FOR THE INITIAL SET OF BEAM-LINES WAS COMPLETED BY THE MIDDLE OF 2005.

AUSE (Spanish Synchrotron Radiation Users Association). AUSE is funded by the MEC.

SEVERAL WORKSHOPS ORGANISED UNDER THE AUSPICES OF AUSE WERE USED AS PART OF THE PROCEDURE TO PREPARE THE SCIENTIFIC CASES.

SAC AND SAC APPOINTED INDEPENDENT REFEREES WERE USED TO EVALUATE AND RANK THE PROPOSALS.



ALBA's initial set of beam-lines:

- Soft X-ray BL for polarisation dependent microscopy (PEEM) and electron emission spectroscopies of "real" surfaces, i.e. relatively high pressure. Two end stations (Phys., Mat. Sci.).
- Soft X-ray BL for XMCD and resonant scattering. Two end stations (Phys., Mat. Sci.).
- BL for high resolution powder diffraction with micro-focus option (Mat. Sci.).
- High brilliance XAS (Chemistry, Biology, Mat. Sci.).
- Non-crystalline diffraction with micro-focus option (Biology +Mat. Sci.).
- Crystallography of very large macromolecules (Biology).
- X-ray microscopy BL (Biology).



Light sources for the initial beam-lines

2 Multipole wigglers (1 super-conducting):

X-ray spectroscopy (MW) and Powder Diffraction (SCW). Status: delivered and undergoing lab. tests

2 in vacuum undulators:

Biomol. Xtallography (1) and Non-Xtalline scat/dif. (1) Status: delivery expected this summer.

2 Apple type undulators:

XMCD+PE Spec. (1) and PEEM+PE Spec. (1) Status: delivered and undergoing lab. tests

<u>1 BM:</u>

X-ray microscopy(1), primarily water window BL.







Conventional wiggler W80

Main characteristics

- Hybrid structure, NdFeB magnet blocks
- 12,5 mm minimum gap
- Block size: 90 x 70 mm. Pole size: 55 x 55 mm
- Num. periods full size: 25
- Length: 1.070 m
- Bmax: 1.782 T
- K: 13.32
- Ripple @ low energies ~6%

- Measured at CELLS OK
- A number of mechanical imperfections not affecting performance were detected





Superconducting wiggler SC-W31





Main characteristics

- Superconducting wiggler
- 12,6 mm magnetic gap
- Period: 30,16 mm
- Num. poles full field: 117
- Length: 1.764 m
- Bmax: 2.16 T
- K: 6.08

- FAT passed OK
- Shipment ongoing
- SAT pending installation



In-vacuum undulators IVU-21

Main characteristics

- PPM undulator
- SmCo magnet blocks.
- 5,7 mm minimum gap
- Block size: 50 x 16 mm
- Period length: 21.8 mm
- Num. Periods full size: 92
- Length: 2.1 m
- Beff: 0.797 T
- K: 1.62

- 15 monts delay
- IVU-1 shimmed OK
- Assembly in wk 18
- IVU-2 shimming ongoing







Apple-II undulators EU62, EU71

LUUZ IUN CIRCL				
Magnitude	Simplex			
Period [mm]	62.76			
W x H [mm x mm]	32 x 32			
L [mm]	1769			
Full period blocks	108			
Bmax, K (V)	0.86 , 5.02			
Bmax, K (H)	0.61,3.60			
Bmax,K (C)	0.50,2.94			

ELIGO for CTDCE

EU71 for XMCD

Magnitude	Simplex			
Period [mm]	71.36			
W x H [mm x mm]	32 x 32			
L [mm]	1655			
Full period blocks	89			
Bmax,K (H)	0.92 , 6.14			
Bmax, K (V)	0.73,4.69			
Bmax, K (C)	0.56 , 3.76			

- Production on time
- 1st undulator measured at CELLS OK
- 2nd undulator measured at CELLS OK
- Mechanical errors within tolerances
- Field and phase errors within tolerances





Brilliance of the various light sources at ALBA





Macromolecular Crystallography

All hutches need an infrastructure such as cabling, gases, liquid N_2 supplies, water, etc. This should be designed into the facility.

XMCD and Soft X-ray Scattering

Powder Diffraction

X-ray Microscopy

X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy

PEEM and NAPP

Non Crystalline Diffraction







Example: Diffraction/Scattering of Non-Crystalline systems. Optics lay-out Beam defining Liquid N_2 cooled, apertures Si (111) DCM Slits, fluorescent screen, vacuum Attenuators, trigger unit, and beam X-BPM, and *Collimating* conditioning unit (Io fluorescent and toroidal monitor, guard slits, screens mirrors and fast shutter) **Photon** Shutter Acoustic delay-line **Slits** Differential pumping **Slits** stages **Slits**



NCD: collimating and toroidal mirrors



NCD liquid N₂ cooled DCM

NCD liquid N_2 cry cooler

PX monochromator and mirrors Fast scanning X-ray monochromator

The optical sub-systems, i.e. mirrors systems, monochromator systems, slits, vacuum systems, etc., are being delivered and tested without beam.



Second phase beam-lines recommended by SAC and approved by ALBA's Council:

A1.- Infrared micro-spectroscopy: Biology and Materials Science (start

construction 2011) A2.- Low-Energy Ultra-High-Resolution Angular Resolved Photoemission: Physics and Materials Science (start construction 2011) A3.- Micro-focus Macromolecular Crystallography: Structural Biology (start construction 2013 if size of user community justifies it)



What can one expect from ALBA?

How does it compare with other facilities?



The quality of a SL source is given by:

- The range of energies of the emitted photons that depends on the energy of the accelerator ($\approx E_e^2$).
- The Brilliance of the emitted radiation (photons/sec/mm²/mrad² in $\Delta\lambda/\lambda=10^{-3}$) that depends on the electron circulating current and on the emittance of the accelerator $(B \approx I / \epsilon^{-3/2})$, where the gmittance is given: $\epsilon = -\frac{1}{J_x} \int_{cell}^{cell} \frac{1}{N_{BM}} (nm.rad)$

• The total number of straight sections available for IDs that is given by the perimeter of the accelerator.

The stability of the beam given by: the buildings, the electrical supply, the RF system, the vacuum system, the optical systems, the control system, etc..



COMPARISON BETWEEN SL SOURCES



NUNZ AN





