Light Source Design – Part 2: Storage Ring Technology

Tuesday 23 Nov 2010 at 09:00 (01h00')

Primary authors : Dr. HETTEL, Robert (SLAC)

Co-authors :

Presenter : Dr. HETTEL, Robert (SLAC)

Light Source Design: Source Types, Parameters and Metrics

Robert Hettel, SLAC Mexican Light Source Workshop November 22-24, 2010





Outline

- Light source types and choices
- Light source parameters
- User needs
- Defining "usable" photons
- Limits to reaching "ultimate" performance
- A new performance metric...
- The need for complementary sources









Why build a light source?

- To serve an X-ray user facility? If so, what is size of user community?
- To serve as a tool to train and develop accelerator physicists, engineers and other technologists?
- To do both?





Why build a light source?

- To serve an X-ray user facility? If so, what is size of user community?
- To serve as a tool to train and develop accelerator physicists, engineers and other technologists?
- To do both?

What are funding resources?

- For initial construction?
- For ongoing operation?
- ...





Why build a light source?

- To serve an X-ray user facility? If so, what is size of user community?
- To serve as a tool to train and develop accelerator physicists, engineers and other technologists?
- To do both?

What are funding resources?

- For initial construction?
- For ongoing operation?
- ...

What performance is desired?

- Parameters of interest?
- Operating hours per year?
- Reliability?





Types of Light Sources

- Storage rings
- ERLs
- FELs
- Compact sources





Storage Rings





Storage Rings



UMER E_{e-} = 10 keV, C = 12.5 m

research accelerator with space charge-dominated beam





Storage Rings



UMER E_{e-} = 10 keV, C = 12.5 m

research accelerator with space charge-dominated beam

PEP-X E_{e-} = 4.5 GeV, C = 2200 m







Storage Rings – cont.



NSLS VUV Ring E_{e-} = 808 MeV, 1 A, C = 51 m

Bursting CSR THz/IR emission





Storage Rings – cont.



NSLS VUV Ring E_{e} = 808 MeV, 1 A, C = 51 m

Bursting CSR THz/IR emission



 E_{e} = 600 MeV, 1 A, C = 66 m



Coherent THz/IR emission





Energy Recovery Linacs





Free Electron Lasers





Free Electron Lasers







Free Electron Lasers







X-ray FEL Oscillator (XFELO)







X-ray FEL Oscillator (XFELO)



Compact X-ray Sources

- · Fixed Tubes and Rotating Anodes
 - Electron beam colliding with metal target—well known
 - > Rigaku FR-E Model for PX has flux = $4x10^9$ ph/s in 300 μ m
- Plasma Sources
 - Laser beam colliding with fixed metal target
 - Very low time average flux, but short (fs) pulses
- Inverse Compton Sources
 - Laser beam colliding with electron bunch
 - Various demonstrations with warm linacs and large conventional storage rings

Lyncean Technologies developing "table-top" synchrotrondriven source D. Moncton, MIT

• HHG laser/gas sources (for EUV, soft x-ray)





Inverse Compton X-ray Sources

Laboratory	Geometry	Energy	Rep. Rate	Photons/pulse
LBL	90°	30 keV	2 Hz	10 ⁴ -10 ⁵
BNL	180°	6 keV	0.03 Hz	107-108
LLNL (PLEIADES)	180°	40 - 140 keV	10 Hz	107
NRL	180°	0.4 keV	~0.01 Hz	10 ⁷ /macro-pulse
FESTA	90°/180°	2.3/4.6 keV	10 Hz	104/105
Vanderbilt Univ.	180°	10-50 keV	~0.01 Hz	109-1010 **
Univ. Tokyo, UTNL*	180°	40 keV	10 Hz	10 ⁸ /macro-pulse**
LLNL(T-REX)*	180°	0.1 - 1 MeV	10 Hz	10 ⁸ -10 ⁹ **
Kharkov Institute*	170°/30°	6-900 keV	40-700 MHz	105**

* Under Development

** Design value

D. Moncton, MIT





Inverse Compton X-ray Sources – cont.

Lyncean Technologies Compact Source Concept



*Typical value for a beamline monochromator bandwidth. [†]Spot size and divergence correspond to $\varepsilon^n \simeq 1 \ge 10^{-7}$.

[‡]Peak energy of X-rays scales as square of (tunable) electron beam energy.





Inverse Compton X-ray Sources – cont.



MIT Inverse Compton Source – cont.

Superconducting RF Electron Gun

Rossendorf design to be manufactured by Accel Instruments



Cryostat with cathode exchange system.

1.3 GHz RF frequency, Cs, Te cathode Current = 1 mACharge = 100 pC per pulse at 10 MHz Exit energy = 8 MeV

D. Moncton, MIT



R



Light Source Parameters

- Spectrum
- Spectral flux density
- Beam power
- Beam dimensions
- Brightness
- Other...





Photon Spectrum and Spectral Flux Density

K-J Kim

Dipole spectral flux density (per horizontal mrad, integrated over vertical angle):



 ρ = dipole bend radius, B(T) = dipole field, γ = relativistic factor

Wiggler spectral flux density:

$$\frac{dF_{wigg}(\omega)}{d\theta} = \sim N_{wigg} \frac{dF_{dip}(\omega)}{d\theta} \qquad \qquad N_{wigg} = \# wiggler \text{ poles}$$

$$\frac{d^{2}F_{und}(\omega_{n})}{d\theta d\phi}\bigg|_{\phi,\theta=0} = 1.744 \times 10^{14} \frac{\Delta\omega}{\omega} N_{u}^{2} E_{e^{-2}}(\text{GeV}) I(A) P_{n}(K)$$

$$N_{u} = \text{\# undulator periods}$$





Photon Beam Power

Dipole radiation:

$$\frac{dP_{dip}}{d\theta} (W/mrad) = 14.09 \frac{E_{e^-}^4 (GeV)}{\rho(m)} I(A)$$
$$P_{Tot-dip} (kW) = 88.5 \frac{E_{e^-}^4 (GeV)}{\rho(m)} I(A)$$

Insertion device radiation:

$$P_{ID}(kW) = 0.633 E^2(GeV) B_0^2(T) L_{ID}(m) I(A)$$

Power density (W/mm²) ~ $E_{e^{-4}}$





Photon Beam Dimensions

Photon beam size:

unfocused, vertical plane:



Photon Beam Dimensions – cont.

Photon beam divergence:

 $\sigma'_{\rm ph}(\mathsf{L}) = \sigma'_{\rm ph}(0) = [\sigma'_{e^-}{}^2 + \sigma'_{\Psi}{}^2]^{1/2} \quad \sigma'_{e^-} = [\epsilon \gamma(\mathsf{s}) + (\eta' \delta)^2]^{1/2}$

for dipoles and wigglers:
$$\frac{1.07}{\gamma} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_c}\right)^{1/3} \quad \lambda \gg \lambda_c$$
$$\lambda_c = \frac{2\pi c}{\omega_c} = \frac{hc}{E_c} \quad h = Planck's \ const. = 4.14 \times 10^{-18} \ keV-s$$
$$\sigma'_{\psi}(\lambda) \cong$$
$$\frac{0.64}{\gamma} \qquad \lambda = \lambda_c.$$
$$E_c(keV) = \frac{3hc\gamma^3}{2\rho} = 0.665 \ B(T) \ E^2(GeV)$$
$$\frac{0.58}{\gamma} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_c}\right)^{1/2} \qquad \lambda <<\lambda_c$$

for planar undulators:
(on-axis, central cone)
$$\sigma'_{\Psi}(n) = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_n}{2L_u}} = \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[\frac{\lambda_u (1 + K^2/2)}{4nL_u} \right]^{1/2} = \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[\frac{1 + K^2/2}{4nN_u} \right]^{1/2}$$

n = harmonic # L_u = undulator length λ_u = undulator period N_u = # periods K = ~1





Electron Beam Dimensions

For each conjugate pair, beam occupies phase space ellipse of constant area - or emittance (A = $\pi\epsilon$)

transverse:

$$\begin{split} & \varepsilon = \gamma(s)x^2 + 2\alpha(s)xx' + \beta(s)x'^2 = \text{cons tan t} \\ & \varepsilon_y \cong k\varepsilon \quad \left(k = \text{coupling, } k < \sim 0.1\right) \\ \end{split} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \alpha = -\beta'/2 & \gamma = \frac{1 + \alpha^2}{\beta} \\ \hline \frac{1}{\beta} \end{pmatrix}$$

e-beam size:
$$\sigma_x(s) = \sqrt{\epsilon_x \beta_x(s) + (\eta(s)\sigma_{E_{e^-}} / E_{e^-})^2}$$
 $\sigma_y(s) = \sqrt{\epsilon_y \beta_y(s)}$

e-divergence:
$$\sigma_{x'}(s) = \sqrt{\epsilon_x \gamma_x(s) + (\eta'(s)\sigma_{E_{e^-}}/E_{e^-})^2}$$
 $\sigma_{y'}(s) = \sqrt{\epsilon_y \gamma_y(s)}$

longitudinal:

$$\sigma_{x}\sigma_{x'} = \varepsilon_{x} \text{ when } \alpha = \eta = \eta = 0$$

$$\sigma_{z} = \frac{\alpha c}{\Omega_{s}} \frac{\sigma_{E_{e^{-}}}}{E_{e^{-}}} = \left(\frac{2\pi\hbar\alpha c^{2}}{\omega_{RF}^{2}\cos\phi_{s}} \frac{E_{e^{-}}}{eV_{RF}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\sigma_{E_{e^{-}}}}{E_{e^{-}}}$$

$$\sigma_{\phi} (\text{rad}) = \frac{\hbar\alpha_{c}}{v_{s}} \frac{\sigma_{E_{e^{-}}}}{E_{e^{-}}} \quad (= \sim 40 \frac{\sigma_{E_{e^{-}}}}{E_{e^{-}}} \text{ for SPEAR3})$$

$$\varepsilon_{s} = \int_{S} \frac{\Delta E}{\omega_{rf}} d\phi = \sigma_{s} \sigma_{\Delta E/E} \qquad \eta(s) = \text{lattice dispersion function}$$

$$\alpha_{c} = \text{momentum compaction factor}$$

 \mathbf{w}



Transverse Beam Dimensions for 3rd Generation Sources

Typical photon beam dimensions

3 GeV 3rd generation source with ε = ~3 nm-rad, 0.1% coupling, E_c = 7.5 keV:

	dipole/wiggler		undulator $(N=100, p=1, E_{1}=2, koV)$	
	hor	vert	hor	vert
σ _{e-} (μm)	75-300	7-20	75-300	7-20
σ'_{e} (µrad)	10-50	1-3	10-50	1-3
σ_{diff} (E _c) (μ m)	0.12	0.12	3.6	3.6
${\sigma'}_\psi$ (E_c) (µrad)	107	107	14	14
σ _{ph} (E _c) (μm)	75-300	7-20	75-300	8-21
σ'_{ph} (E _c) (µrad)	mrads	107	17-52	14

For 100-period undulator, n = 7 (~12 keV), σ'_{ph} (n = 7) = 5-6 μ rad





Spectral Brightness and Coherent Fraction

Spectral brightness: photon density in 6D phase space

$$\mathsf{B}_{\mathsf{avg}}(\lambda) \propto \frac{\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{ph}}(\lambda)}{(\varepsilon_{\mathsf{x}} \oplus \varepsilon_{\mathsf{r}}(\lambda))(\varepsilon_{\mathsf{y}} \oplus \varepsilon_{\mathsf{r}}(\lambda))(\mathsf{s} \not\sim \mathsf{BW})}$$

 $\varepsilon_{x,y}$ = electron emittance

 ε_r = photon emittance = $\lambda/4\pi$

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{B}_{\mathsf{pk}}(\lambda) &\propto \frac{\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{ph}}(\lambda)}{(\epsilon_{\mathsf{x}} \oplus \epsilon_{\mathsf{r}}(\lambda))(\epsilon_{\mathsf{y}} \oplus \epsilon_{\mathsf{r}}(\lambda))(\sigma_{\mathsf{t}} \not\sim \mathsf{W} \mathsf{BW})} \\ &\sigma_{\mathsf{t}} = \mathsf{bunch} \ \mathsf{length} \end{split}$$





Spectral Brightness and Coherent Fraction

Spectral brightness: photon density in 6D phase space

$$\mathsf{B}_{\mathsf{avg}}(\lambda) \propto \frac{\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{ph}}(\lambda)}{(\varepsilon_{\mathsf{x}} \oplus \varepsilon_{\mathsf{r}}(\lambda))(\varepsilon_{\mathsf{y}} \oplus \varepsilon_{\mathsf{r}}(\lambda))(\mathsf{s} \times \mathscr{BW})}$$

 $\varepsilon_{x,y}$ = electron emittance

 ε_r = photon emittance = $\lambda/4\pi$

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{B}_{\mathsf{pk}}(\lambda) &\propto \frac{\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{ph}}(\lambda)}{(\epsilon_{\mathsf{x}} \oplus \epsilon_{\mathsf{r}}(\lambda))(\epsilon_{\mathsf{y}} \oplus \epsilon_{\mathsf{r}}(\lambda))(\sigma_{\mathsf{t}} \not\sim \mathsf{W}\mathsf{BW})} \\ &\sigma_{\mathsf{t}} = \mathsf{bunch} \, \mathsf{length} \end{split}$$

Coherent fraction:

$$f_{coh}(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda/4\pi}{(\varepsilon_x \oplus \varepsilon_r(\lambda))} \times \frac{\lambda/4\pi}{(\varepsilon_y \oplus \varepsilon_r(\lambda))}$$





Spectral Brightness







Comparative Light Source Performance




Comparative Light Source Performance





FELs



Comparative Light Source Performance















Some user answers:

A lot of photons into a small spot A lot of coherent photons A lot of photons in a short pulse A high pulse repetition rate Not too many photons Femtosecond pump-probe timing stability 50 keV photons 10⁻⁶ energy bandwidth (meV) fast switched polarization

A lot of photons into a large area A high coherent fraction A lot of photons in a long pulse A low pulse repetition rate nm spatial resolution 0.1% intensity stability 280 eV photons 10⁻² energy bandwidth etc.....





Some user answers:

A lot of photons into a small spot
A lot of coherent photons
A lot of photons in a short pulse
A high pulse repetition rate
Not too many photons
Femtosecond pump-probe timing stability
50 keV photons
10⁻⁶ energy bandwidth (meV)
fast switched polarization

Paraphrased:

A lot of photons into a large area A high coherent fraction A lot of photons in a long pulse A low pulse repetition rate nm spatial resolution 0.1% intensity stability 280 eV photons 10⁻² energy bandwidth etc.....

Maximum number of "usable" photons for an experiment in a minimum time

experiment = experiment "acceptance phase space"





Some user answers:

A lot of photons into a small spot A lot of coherent photons A lot of photons in a short pulse A high pulse repetition rate Not too many photons Femtosecond pump-probe timing stability 50 keV photons 10⁻⁶ energy bandwidth (meV) fast switched polarization

Paraphrased:

A lot of photons into a large area A high coherent fraction A lot of photons in a long pulse A low pulse repetition rate nm spatial resolution 0.1% intensity stability 280 eV photons 10⁻² energy bandwidth etc.....

Maximum number of "usable" photons for an experiment in a minimum time

experiment = experiment "acceptance phase space"

"acceptance phase space" not easy to define for many experiments

(2-/4-color mixing, nonlinear multi-photon events, non-linear multidimensional spectroscopy, etc.)





Example: Crystal Acceptance in Phase Space







Science and Technology of Future Light Sources

A White Paper

Report prepared by scientists from ANL, BNL, LBNL and SLAC. The coordinating team consisted of Uwe Bergmann, John Corlett, Steve Dierker, Roger Falcone, John Galayda, Murray Gibson, Jerry Hastings, Bob Hettel, John Hill, Zahid Hussain, Chi-Chang Kao, Janos Kirz, Gabrielle Long, Bill McCurdy, Tor Raubenheimer, Fernando Sannibale, John Seeman, Z.-X. Shen, Gopal Shenoy, Bob Schoenlein, Qun Shen, Brian Stephenson, Joachim Stöhr, and Alexander Zholents. Other contributors are listed at the end of the document.

http://www-ssrl.slac.stanford.edu/aboutssrl/documents/future-x-rays-09.pdf

Argonne National Laboratory Brookhaven National Laboratory Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory





December 2008

Important Parameters for Usable Photons

flux to sample coherent flux energy range multiple energies (2-/4-color) beam size repetition rate timing stability bunch length beam stability focused flux density photons/pulse energy tunability energy resolution beam divergence control of timing/synchronization timing synchronization control of bunch length power density

etc....





Important Parameters for Usable Photons

flux to sample	focused flux density
coherent flux	photons/pulse
energy range	energy tunability
multiple energies (2-/4-color)	energy resolution
beam size	beam divergence
repetition rate	control of timing/synchronization
timing stability	timing synchronization
bunch length	control of bunch length
beam stability	power density
- 1 -	

etc....

Many parameters are captured with the traditional metrics:

peak brightness average brightness

(electron current/charge, energy, emittance, bunch length,...)









Photon flux delivered to experiment acceptance phase space V_{exp} (up to 6D):







- Photon flux delivered to experiment acceptance phase space V_{exp} (up to 6D): $\int_{Vexp} B(\lambda) dV$
- High brightness does not necessarily mean more usable photons if source phase space "underfills" or is not matched to V_{exp}
 - Protein crystal has large acceptance ⇒ flux more important than brightness
 - X-ray absorption, x-ray emission and photoemission are insensitive to angular divergence of incident photons \Rightarrow do not require lateral coherence. Small source size (i.e. small β_x) is important
 - An experiment having 1% energy acceptance does not benefit any more from a source having 10⁻⁶ energy BW than from one having 10⁻³ BW





- Photon flux delivered to experiment acceptance phase space V_{exp} (up to 6D): $\int_{Vexp} B(\lambda) dV$
- High brightness does not necessarily mean more usable photons if source phase space "underfills" or is not matched to V_{exp}
 - Protein crystal has large acceptance ⇒ flux more important than brightness
 - X-ray absorption, x-ray emission and photoemission are insensitive to angular divergence of incident photons \Rightarrow do not require lateral coherence. Small source size (i.e. small β_x) is important
 - An experiment having 1% energy acceptance does not benefit any more from a source having 10⁻⁶ energy BW than from one having 10⁻³ BW
- Usable peak brightness and flux may be limited by sample damage, x-ray optics, detectors, signal processing rates, etc.





- Photon flux delivered to experiment acceptance phase space V_{exp} (up to 6D): $\int_{Vern} B(\lambda) dV$
- High brightness does not necessarily mean more usable photons if source phase space "underfills" or is not matched to V_{exp}
 - Protein crystal has large acceptance ⇒ flux more important than brightness
 - X-ray absorption, x-ray emission and photoemission are insensitive to angular divergence of incident photons \Rightarrow do not require lateral coherence. Small source size (i.e. small β_x) is important
 - An experiment having 1% energy acceptance does not benefit any more from a source having 10⁻⁶ energy BW than from one having 10⁻³ BW
- Usable peak brightness and flux may be limited by sample damage, x-ray optics, detectors, signal processing rates, etc.

these factors might be included in defining acceptance phase space









Size-divergence transform limit (diffraction limit):

Expresses fundamental coupling between beam size and angular divergence resolution and defines complete transverse coherence.

Diffraction-limited emittance for transverse Gaussian electron beam:

$$\varepsilon_{diff}(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda}{4\pi}$$
 (= 8 pm-rad @ 1 Å)





Size-divergence transform limit (diffraction limit):

Expresses fundamental coupling between beam size and angular divergence resolution and defines complete transverse coherence.

Diffraction-limited emittance for transverse Gaussian electron beam:

$$\epsilon_{diff}(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda}{4\pi}$$
 (= 8 pm-rad @ 1 Å)

Time-energy transform limit:

Expresses the fundamental coupling between energy resolution and time resolution and defines complete temporal coherence.

For Gaussian pulse intensity:

$$\Delta t \times \Delta E_{ph} = \frac{h}{4\pi}$$
 (= 3.3x10⁻⁴ ps(rms) eV(rms))





Size-divergence transform limit (diffraction limit):

Expresses fundamental coupling between beam size and angular divergence resolution and defines complete transverse coherence.

Diffraction-limited emittance for transverse Gaussian electron beam:

$$\epsilon_{diff}(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda}{4\pi}$$
 (= 8 pm-rad @ 1 Å)

Time-energy transform limit:

Expresses the fundamental coupling between energy resolution and time resolution and defines complete temporal coherence.

For Gaussian pulse intensity:

$$\Delta t \times \Delta E_{ph} = \frac{h}{4\pi}$$

In terms of fractional bandwidth:

$$\frac{\Delta E_{ph}}{E_{ph}} = \frac{h}{4\pi E_{ph}\Delta t} = \frac{\lambda}{4\pi c}$$

 $(= 3.3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ ps(rms)} \text{ eV(rms)})$



New and future light sources are pushing towards transform-limited performance





New and future light sources are pushing towards transform-limited performance

Peak brightness in terms of transform-limited phase space volume – "coherence volume" V_{coh}:

$$B_{pk}(\lambda) = \frac{N_{ph}(\lambda)}{V_{coh}(\lambda)}$$
$$V_{coh}(\lambda) \sim \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} \times \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} \times \frac{\lambda}{c4\pi} = \frac{1}{c} \left(\frac{\lambda}{4\pi}\right)^{3}_{j}$$

 $V_{coh}(1\text{\AA}) = 1.17 \text{ x } 10^{-27} \text{ s} \cdot \text{mm}^{2} \cdot \text{mrad}^{2} \cdot 0.1\% \text{ BW}$





Photons per "Coherence Volume"







Diffraction-Limited Emittance



NATIONAL ACCELERATOR LABORATOR



Energy Bandwidth vs. Pulse Length



X-ray Source Temporal Performance







X-ray Source Temporal Performance







Limitations to Reaching Transform Limit -Transverse









Limitations to Reaching Transform Limit -Transverse





Insertion device parameters





Limitations to Reaching Transform Limit -Transverse





Insertion device parameters

X-ray optics: can they preserve emittance and coherence?









Spontaneous undulator radiation

Inherent bandwidth:
$$\frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{nN_{und}}$$

N_{und} = # undulator periods, n = harmonic #





Spontaneous undulator radiation

Inherent bandwidth:
$$\frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{nN_{und}}$$

 N_{und} = # undulator periods, n = harmonic #

Must be convolved with electron energy spread:

storage ring: $\sigma_{\rm E}$ = ~10⁻³ linac/ERL: $\sigma_{\rm E}$ = ~10⁻⁴





Spontaneous undulator radiation

Inherent bandwidth:
$$\frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{nN_{und}}$$

 N_{und} = # undulator periods, n = harmonic #

Must be convolved with electron energy spread:

storage ring: $\sigma_E = \sim 10^{-3}$ linac/ERL: $\sigma_E = \sim 10^{-4}$

But: BW at experiment determined by monochromator (with loss of intensity)





Spontaneous undulator radiation

Inherent bandwidth:
$$\frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{nN_{und}}$$

 N_{und} = # undulator periods, n = harmonic #

Must be convolved with electron energy spread:

storage ring: $\sigma_E = \sim 10^{-3}$ linac/ERL: $\sigma_E = \sim 10^{-4}$

But: BW at experiment determined by monochromator (with loss of intensity)

FELs

SASE: BW determined by cooperation length. For ultra-short bunches, cooperation length can approach the bunch length; (large) bandwidth can be close to the transform limit.

Seeded FEL and XFELO: BW approaches or reaches transform limit





Spontaneous undulator radiation

Inherent bandwidth:
$$\frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{nN_{und}}$$

 N_{und} = # undulator periods, n = harmonic #

Must be convolved with electron energy spread:

storage ring: $\sigma_{\rm E}$ = ~10⁻³ linac/ERL: $\sigma_{\rm E}$ = ~10⁻⁴

But: BW at experiment determined by monochromator (with loss of intensity)

FELs

SASE: BW determined by cooperation length. For ultra-short bunches, cooperation length can approach the bunch length; (large) bandwidth can be close to the transform limit.

Seeded FEL and XFELO: BW approaches or reaches transform limit

X-ray optics: can they preserve temporal coherence?





Limits to Beam Parameters – cont.

Other limits:

photons/pulse and flux

>~10⁹ ph/pulse can cause sample damage

FEL users finding ways to avoid damage from much higher ph/ pulse (10¹²?) – ultrashort pulses, energy detuning,etc

- repetition rate: too low or too high (detector processing rates, etc)
- stability!!
- cost
- •




Limits to Beam Parameters – cont.

Other limits:

photons/pulse and flux

>~10⁹ ph/pulse can cause sample damage

FEL users finding ways to avoid damage from much higher ph/ pulse (10¹²?) – ultrashort pulses, energy detuning,etc

- repetition rate: too low or too high (detector processing rates, etc)
- stability!!
- cost
-

Factors that prevent reaching performance potential may be considered to be metrics





Other Metrics

Some important light source performance factors not captured by preceding metrics:

polarization controltiming/synchronizationvarious operating modes# simultaneous experimentsconstruction costoperation costetc.





Other Metrics

Some important light source performance factors not captured by preceding metrics:

polarization controltiming/synchronizationvarious operating modes# simultaneous experimentsconstruction costoperation costetc.

New metric:

#usable photons/pulse xusable rep rate *# stations *# mod es x...

facility cos t





The Need for Complementary Light Sources





The Need for Complementary Light Sources

XFELs:

- unprecedented x-ray peak brightness, coherence and short pulse length
- control of longitudinal phase space
- femtosecond-level pump-probe capabilities
- A revolutionary tool for photon science





The Need for Complementary Light Sources

XFELs:

- unprecedented x-ray peak brightness, coherence and short pulse length
- control of longitudinal phase space
- femtosecond-level pump-probe capabilities
- A revolutionary tool for photon science

Ring-based sources:

- highly stable high average brightness (and coherence), low peak brightness, high repetition rates
- wide energy spectrum and ultrahigh energy resolution
- picosecond pulses match timescale of electron-phonon coupling and allow electronic system to remain "cool" during and after the x-ray probe
- future ring-based sources will push toward the exploration of the combined minimum phase space boundary of order 1 nm, 1 meV and 10 ps
- support a large number of photon beam lines and serve a large number of diverse users simultaneously







1957: Stanford representatives sign the **\$114 million contract to build SLAC** with the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission. Pictured are Stanford University Trustees Morris Doyle and Ira Lillick, seated, with (left to right) Dwight Adams, university business manager; Project Director "Pief" Panofsky and Robert Minge Brown, university counsel.





Parameter	Rings	ERLs	Linac FELs
average brightness			
average flux			
peak brightness			
photons/pulse			
transverse coherence			
transverse stability			
rep rate			
control of longit phase space			
bunch length			
timing stability			
energy resolution			
energy tunability			
energy spread			
polarization control			
various operating modes			
# simultaneous experiments			



