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#### Abstract

The chiral Hamiltonian for twisted graphene bilayers is written as a $2 \times 2$ matrix operator by a renormalization of the Hamiltonian that takes into account the particle-hole symmetry. This results in an effective Hamiltonian written in terms of Pauli matrices. The action of the proposed renormalization maps zero-modes flat-bands into ground states. On each graphene layer, modes near zero energy have an antibonding nature in a triangular lattice. This leads to a phase-frustration effect associated with massive degeneration, and makes flat-bands modes similar to confined modes observed in other bipartite lattices. At magic angles, it is shown that intralayer frustration is zero while for other angles is proportional to the squared Fermi velocity. Suprisingly, the proposed Hamiltonian renormalization suggests that flat-bands at magic angles are akin to floppy-mode bands in flexible crystals or glasses, making an unexpected connection between rigidity topological theory and twisted two-dimensional systems.


Introduction. Superconducting states are difficult to reach as they require very strict laboratory parameters [1]. High $T_{c}$ superconductors use cuprates which are well-ordered structures of atoms combined in threedimensional arrangements [2-7]. For these materials, the mechanism that counteracts the Colombian repulsion force between electrons is not exactly known, and for this reason, these unconventional states are referred to as strongly correlated [8, 9]. Recently, it has been discovered that twisted bilayer graphene exhibits superconducting states at certain rotation angles [10, 11] where the electron interactions are maximized [12. This rotated graphene bilayer model generates a Moiré pattern as a function of the rotation angle, defining a Moiré Brillouin zone ( mBZ ) in reciprocal space. These special angles are called "magic" and were predicted as a possible consequence of flat-bands observed in previous theoretical work [13]. In the work of Cao et.al. [10], a Mott insulating state appears in the middle of these superconducting phases. The study of the electronic properties of rotated graphene over graphene started before the discovery of superconductivity at magic angles. In the work of J. Santos [14] and A. Macdonald [13], a continuous Hamiltonian model was presented; however, due to the presence of an interlayer amplitude AA coupling, this model did not present chiral symmetry. In a recent work by G. Tarnopolsky et. al. [15], a chiral continuum model was studied, and only the AB and BA inter-layer couplings are different from zero. Perhaps, so far, it is the simplest model that best captures the nature of magic angles; at these angles the dispersion energy becomes flat and has a recurrence behavior. At these magic angles the Fermi velocity also goes to zero. Due to its chiral symmetry, the Hamiltonian of this model also produces an intravalley inversion symmetry [16], so the energy dispersion is

[^0]inversion symmetric at all twist angles. Also, we can distinguish between different magic angles when zero modes occurs, and thus the inter-valley inversion classifies the topology of the twist angle. The zero-mode flat-band solutions have some resemblance to the ground state of a quantum Hall effect wave function on a torus [15, 17, and, therefore, the solution is of the harmonic oscillator type, where Landau levels arise [17-19]. The mechanism that causes the appearance of these magic angles is still not known, however, many investigations suggest that it is a topological aspect of the band structure [20-26]. The aim of this work its to clarify the physical behavior of this model and to develop an effective equation for the Hamiltonian matrix in order to study all other non flat band states. In particular, here we renormalize the Hamiltonian to take into account the particle-hole symmetry which results in a folding of the spectrum around zero-energy. Then we discuss the physical picture that arises from the renormalization

Twisted Bilayer Graphene Effective Model. Tarnopolsky et. al. derived a chiral Hamiltonian for electronsholes in twisted bilayer graphene. It captures the "true magic" of the magic angle physics [15],

$$
\mathcal{H}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & D^{*}(-r)  \tag{1}\\
D(r) & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where the zero-mode operator is,

$$
D(r)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-i \bar{\partial} & \alpha U(r)  \tag{2}\\
\alpha U(-r) & -i \bar{\partial}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and,

$$
D^{*}(-r)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-i \partial & \alpha U^{*}(-r)  \tag{3}\\
\alpha U^{*}(r) & -i \partial
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $\bar{\partial}=\partial_{x}+i \partial_{y}, \partial=\partial_{x}-i \partial_{y}$. The potential is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(\boldsymbol{r})=e^{-i \boldsymbol{q}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}}+e^{i \phi} e^{-i \boldsymbol{q}_{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}}+e^{-i \phi} e^{-i \boldsymbol{q}_{3} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG. 1. a) Real space Moiré unit cell, $\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbf{1 , 2}}$ are two Moiré lattice vectors. Point $\boldsymbol{r}_{0}=\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{1}-\boldsymbol{a}_{2}\right) / 3$ is the BA stacking point where all components of the wave function vanish at magic $\alpha$. b) mBZ in reciprocal space, $\boldsymbol{b}_{\mathbf{1 , 2}}$ are the base vectors.

For this Hamiltonian, the parameters are $\phi=\frac{2 \pi}{3}$ and $\boldsymbol{q}_{1}=k_{\theta}(0,-1), \boldsymbol{q}_{2}=k_{\theta}\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{q}_{3}=k_{\theta}\left(-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, the Moiré modulation vector is $k_{\theta}=2 k_{D} \sin \frac{\theta}{2}$ with $k_{D}=\frac{4 \pi}{3 a_{0}}$ is the magnitude of the Dirac wave vector and $a_{0}$ is the lattice constant of monolayer graphene. The physics of this model is captured by the parameter $\alpha$, defined as $\alpha=\frac{w_{1}}{v_{0} k_{\theta}}$. Here $w_{1}$ is the interlayer coupling of stacking ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{AB}$ and BA , take the value $w_{1}=110 \mathrm{meV}$ and $v_{0}$ is the Fermi velocity, with value $v_{0}=\frac{19.81 \mathrm{eV}}{2 k_{D}}$. At magic angles $\alpha=$ $0.586,2.221,3.751,5.276,6.795,8.313,9.829,11.345, \ldots$,
flat-bands appear. Magic $\alpha$ 's follow a remarkable $3 / 2$ quantization rule [15] for $\alpha>0.586$.

This Hamiltonian is difficult to tackle and in fact most of the studies have been restricted to the zero-mode operator solutions at energy zero [16, 17]. Here, instead of solving the Schrödinger equation with $\mathcal{H}$ we first propose to reduce the dimensionality of the problem. Starting with the Schrödinger equation $\mathcal{H} \Phi=E \Phi$, where $\Phi(r)=\left(\psi_{1}(r), \psi_{2}(r), \chi_{1}(r), \chi_{2}(r)\right)^{T}$ are the four components of the twisted graphene bilayer, and the index 1,2 represent each graphene layer, we consider the squared Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}^{2}$,

$$
\mathcal{H}^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
D^{*}(-r) D(r) & 0  \tag{5}\\
0 & D(r) D^{*}(-r)
\end{array}\right)
$$

This transforms the off-diagonal blocks into zeros. We can understand such transformation as a removal of the particle-hole symmetry that is an anti-unitary anticommuting symmetry. Therefore, we obtain a decoupled equation $\mathcal{H}^{2} \Phi(r)=E^{2} \Phi(r)$, where the eigenvalues are the squares of the original energies. Therefore, the states at $E=0$ are ground states of $\mathcal{H}^{2} \Phi(r)$. For arbitray $\alpha$ there are always two zero-mode solutions in the $K$ and $K^{\prime}$ points [15], the signature of a magic angle in $\mathcal{H}^{2}$ is that the Fermi velocity at $\boldsymbol{k}=K, K^{\prime}$ points of the Moiré Brillouin (mBrillouin) zone approaches zero and a massive degenerate ground state. We now define a $2 \times 2$ effective Hamiltonian $H^{2}=D^{*}(-r) D(r)$. As detailed in the sup-
plementary material, the resulting effective Hamiltonian is,

$$
H^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\nabla^{2}+\alpha^{2}|U(-\boldsymbol{r})|^{2} & \alpha A^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{r})  \tag{6}\\
\alpha A(\boldsymbol{r}) & -\nabla^{2}+\alpha^{2}|U(\boldsymbol{r})|^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The norm of the potential is,
$|U(\boldsymbol{r})|^{2}=3+2 \cos \left(\boldsymbol{b}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}-\phi\right)+2 \cos \left(\boldsymbol{b}_{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}+\phi\right)+2 \cos \left(\boldsymbol{b}_{3} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}+2 \phi\right)$
where $\boldsymbol{b}_{1,2}=\boldsymbol{q}_{2,3}-\boldsymbol{q}_{1}$ are the mBrillouin zone Moiré vectors and $\boldsymbol{b}_{3}=\boldsymbol{q}_{3}-\boldsymbol{q}_{2}$. The off-diagonal terms are,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(\boldsymbol{r})=-i \sum_{\mu=1}^{3} e^{i \boldsymbol{q}_{\mu} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}}\left(2 \hat{\boldsymbol{q}}_{\mu}^{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}-k_{\theta}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{r})=-i \sum_{\mu=1}^{3} e^{-i \boldsymbol{q}_{\mu} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}}\left(2 \hat{\boldsymbol{q}}_{\mu}^{\perp} \cdot \nabla+k_{\theta}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{\dagger}=-\boldsymbol{\nabla}$ with $\boldsymbol{\nabla}=\left(\partial_{x}, \partial_{y}\right)$ and $\mu=1,2,3$. This is an essential point as eigenvalues must be reals (notice that $\left.-A^{\dagger}(-\boldsymbol{r})=A(\boldsymbol{r})\right)$. Also, $\hat{\boldsymbol{q}}_{\mu}^{\perp}$ is a set of unitary vectors perpendicular to the set $\boldsymbol{q}_{\mu}$ (see supplementary). The term $\hat{\boldsymbol{q}}_{\mu}^{\perp} \cdot \nabla$ is the directional gradient along the triangle defined by the Moiré vectors and has an interpretation in terms of frustration (see supplementary).

Any eigenfunction of the original Hamiltonian is an eigenfunction of $\mathcal{H}^{2}$, therefore, the spinor $\Psi(\boldsymbol{r})=$ $\left(\psi_{1}(\boldsymbol{r}), \psi_{2}(\boldsymbol{r})\right)$ is a solution of $H^{2}$. In a similar way, we can proceed to use $D(r) D^{*}(-r)$ with solutions $\left(\chi_{1}(\boldsymbol{r}), \chi_{2}(\boldsymbol{r})\right)$. These solutions are easily obtained from $\left(\psi_{1}(\boldsymbol{r}), \psi_{2}(\boldsymbol{r})\right)$ using symmetry operations, and thus here we only study $H^{2}$. $\mathcal{H}^{2}$ eigenfunctions are made from a superposition of pseudo-spin polarized states of $\mathcal{H}$.

Physical interpretation of the effective model and flatbands The renormalization into a $2 \times 2$ matrix not only simplifies the mathematics but has a profound physical meaning. What we achieved is the decoupling of the $A$ and $B$ bipartite lattices for each graphene layer. In graphene, such squared Hamiltonian is equivalent to renormalize the honeycomb lattice into a triangular lattice with renormalized interactions and a self-energy [27, 28, here Eq. (6) shows that this is also the case. As sketched in Fig. 2 for monolayer graphene, the transformation of $E$ to $E^{2}$ produces a fold in the spectrum such that bonding states with the lowest energy are mapped into higher energies. In other words, the transformation deletes the alternating sign of one of the bipartite sublattices as explained in Fig. 2

As the zero-modes are ground states of $H^{2}$, we end up having a clear picture of nearby states around zeroenergy modes, they correspond to the antibonding limit in two coupled triangular lattices. Anti-bonding states in non-bipartite lattices are frustrated as they cannot achieve a phase difference of $\pi$ between sites as odd-rings


FIG. 2. For each non-interacting monolayer graphene case, we present the density of states $\rho(E)$ and of $\rho\left(E^{2}\right)$ corresponding to the squared Hamiltonian, equivalent to a folding of the spectrum. The signs are a sketch of the relative signs and amplitudes on bipartite sublattices A and B (shown in red and blue). Arrows indicate how the states are mapped under such transformation. Zero modes and close-by states are in the antibonding limit on a triangular lattice (indicated by the triangle inside the hexagon). The frustration is seen here in the bond that joins the + and + sites in the triangle (in reality, the minimal phase difference is $\phi$ ). For the twisted bilayer with interlayer interaction, $\mathcal{H}^{2}$ produces a similar DOS folding and relative signs for the superlattice.
are present [29, 30]. For disordered systems, states are localized in regions of lower frustration and a kind of Lifshitz tail appears [30. Moreover, frustration is always associated with massive degeneration [29, 30]; it leads to Van Hove singularites or if possible, in a condensation of confined states. These confined states appear in chiral models of the Penrose lattice 3133 , where they form beautiful fractal patterns [32], in random binary alloys [34, 35] and in graphene with defects [27, 36]. Strictly confined states are degenerate. However, there is a basis in which the amplitude in one of the bipartite sublattices is zero while in the other, the sum of all neighbors amplitudes is always zero for any site [32, 34.

To understand how zero modes are related with confined states and frustration as in Fig. 2, let us made the following remarks. From the Hamiltonian Eq. (1) we confirm that for $E=0$ there are always solutions of the form $\Phi_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{A}(\boldsymbol{r})=\left(\psi_{\boldsymbol{k}, 1}^{A}(\boldsymbol{r}), \psi_{\boldsymbol{k}, 2}^{A}(\boldsymbol{r}), 0,0\right)$ and $\Phi_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{B}(\boldsymbol{r})=$ $\left(0,0, \chi_{\boldsymbol{k}, 1}^{B}(\boldsymbol{r}), \chi_{\boldsymbol{k}, 2}^{B}(\boldsymbol{r})\right)$, where the labels $A$ and $B$ are used to denote zero amplitude in the opposite bipartite sublattice. We remark that linear combinations,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\boldsymbol{r})=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\Phi_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{A}(\boldsymbol{r})+e^{i \gamma} \Phi_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{B}(\boldsymbol{r})\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\gamma$ a phase, result in a different basis which do not show zeros in one sublattice, as for example with the symmetrized/antisymmetrized cases $\gamma=0, \pi$. As the potential does not brake the $C_{3}$ symmetry, the states $\boldsymbol{k}=\boldsymbol{K}, \boldsymbol{K}^{\prime}$ are always a $E=0$ solution for any $\alpha$. As a conclusion, for $\alpha$ not a magical angle there are four linearly independent wavefunctions, as confirmed from a Wronskian analisis 17 and therefore, at any angle there
are "confined states" in the sense of Fig. 2. At magic angles, the Wronskian of the solutions is zero and there are $E=0$ solutions at any $\boldsymbol{k}$, resulting in the flat band. Still, $\Phi_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{A}(\boldsymbol{r})$ and $\Phi_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{B}(\boldsymbol{r})$ are solutions meaning that now all states are "confined". Any linear combination using different sets of $\boldsymbol{k}$ is a solution. As explained in the supplementary, this is similar to the Van-Hove singularity in monolayer graphene, where dimers are desconnected from the lattice and thus is a kind of highly-degenerated, confined state.

The anti-bonding or bonding nature and therefore frustration is obtained from all bonds energy contribution, the latter one obtained from the product of the wavefunction in a site with the conjugated wavefunction of a neighboring site [35]. For the present analysis, this requires to take into account three factors: 1) the system has two layers, 2) we are dealing with a low-energy continuous version of the TBH and 3) the system has a superlattice. Concerning point 1), we look at the intralayer frustration to see how the interlayer interaction tunes such contribution. Points 2) and 3) are more delicate as we need to understand that $k$ is a moment that departs from $K$ and $K^{\prime}$. In a two-layer bipartite continuous lattice, for a given state $\boldsymbol{k}$ such procedure is equivalent to consider bonds joining $A$ and $B$ sublattices sites . Although this can be made using any basis, it is easier to use in a symmetrized one $\gamma=0$. The frustration can be measured from the function (see supplementary),

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\boldsymbol{r})=\psi_{\boldsymbol{k}, 1}(\boldsymbol{r}) \chi_{\boldsymbol{k}, 1}^{*}(\boldsymbol{r})+\psi_{\boldsymbol{k}, 2}(\boldsymbol{r}) \chi_{\boldsymbol{k}, 2}^{*}(\boldsymbol{r}) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\nabla g_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\boldsymbol{r})$. As we are interested in states near $E=0$, we set $\boldsymbol{k}=\boldsymbol{K}$ in Eq. 11. Using the symmetry of the problem, we can show that $\chi_{\boldsymbol{K}}^{*}(\boldsymbol{r})=\psi_{\boldsymbol{K}}(-\boldsymbol{r})$ and,
$g_{\boldsymbol{K}}(\boldsymbol{r})=\psi_{\boldsymbol{K}, 1}(\boldsymbol{r}) \psi_{\boldsymbol{K}, 1}(-\boldsymbol{r})+\psi_{\boldsymbol{K}, 2}(\boldsymbol{r}) \psi_{\boldsymbol{K}, 2}(-\boldsymbol{r}) \sim v_{F}(\alpha)$
where this last step is obtained from the fact that $g_{\boldsymbol{K}}$ turns out to be an invariant (see supplementary) which can be identified with the Fermi velocity at a given angle [15], here denoted by $v_{F}(\alpha)$. For any angle $\nabla g_{\boldsymbol{K}}(\boldsymbol{r})=0$ indicating that $\boldsymbol{K}=0$ is minimally frustrated. However, at magic angles $v_{F}(\alpha)=0$ and therefore also $g_{K}=0$, i.e., frustration is zero, making a flat band by pushing all states towards $E=0$. This leads to a special condition for the wave function Fourier components. The explicit form of the wavefunction is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\boldsymbol{r})=\sum_{m, n}\binom{a_{m n}}{b_{m n} e^{i \boldsymbol{q}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}}} e^{i\left(\boldsymbol{K}_{m n}+\boldsymbol{k}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{r}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{K}_{m n}=m \boldsymbol{b}_{1}+n \boldsymbol{b}_{2} . \quad a_{m, n}$ and $b_{m, n}$ are the Fourier coefficients. At the $B A$ stacking point $\boldsymbol{r}_{0}=$ $\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{1}-\boldsymbol{a}_{2}\right) / 3$, and for any $\alpha$ and due to symmetry reasons $\psi_{\boldsymbol{K}, 2}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{0}\right) \psi_{\boldsymbol{K}, 2}\left(-\boldsymbol{r}_{0}\right)=0$, while for magic $\alpha$ we have that [15] $\psi_{\boldsymbol{K}, 1}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{0}\right)=0$. As shown in the supplementary, when translated into Eq. 13 results in,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m, s}\left(a_{m, 3 s}+a_{m+1,3 s} e^{i \phi}+a_{m, 3 s+1} e^{-i \phi}\right)=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the same equation holds for $b_{m n}$, a fact to be expected as the Wronskian is zero [17]. The previous equation shows a precise tuning of components and hints how states develop a sharp peak at the AA stacking point as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ (see supplementary).

Quite surprisingly, our renormalization is akin to a phonon problem (see supplementary). Thus, flat-band states seem to have a resemblance to floppy modes in an equivalent rigidity-phonon problem, i.e., the flat-band can be interpreted as a massive zero frequency vibrational band, since $E^{2}$ is analogous to a frequency [37]. These floppy modes are well known in the Phillips rigidity theory of glasses 38-40 and are reminiscent of the protected electronic boundary modes that occur in the quantum Hall effect and in topological insulators 37 .

Effective fields and Hamiltonian in triangular coordinates It is useful to write the renormalized twisted graphene bilayer Hamiltonian in terms of the Pauli matrix vector $\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$ as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{2}=h_{0}(\boldsymbol{r}) \sigma_{0}+\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{r}) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the vector $\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{r})$ is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{r})=\left(\alpha h_{x}(\boldsymbol{r}), \alpha h_{y}(\boldsymbol{r}), \alpha^{2} h_{z}(\boldsymbol{r})\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

with,

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{x}(\boldsymbol{r})=-\sum_{\mu}\left[k_{\theta} \sin \left(\boldsymbol{q}_{\mu} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}\right)+2 i \cos \left(\boldsymbol{q}_{\mu} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}\right) \hat{\boldsymbol{q}}_{\mu}^{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}\right] \\
& h_{y}(\boldsymbol{r})=\sum_{\mu}\left[k_{\theta} \cos \left(\boldsymbol{q}_{\mu} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}\right)-2 i \sin \left(\boldsymbol{q}_{\mu} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}\right) \hat{\boldsymbol{q}}_{\mu}^{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}\right] \\
& h_{z}(\boldsymbol{r})=\frac{|U(-\boldsymbol{r})|^{2}-|U(\boldsymbol{r})|^{2}}{2} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

The operator in front of the identity $\sigma_{0}$ is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{0}(\boldsymbol{r})=-\nabla^{2}+\alpha^{2} \bar{V}(\boldsymbol{r}) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{V}(\boldsymbol{r})=\frac{|U(\boldsymbol{r})|^{2}+|U(-\boldsymbol{r})|^{2}}{2} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We observe that $h_{0}(\boldsymbol{r})$ corresponds to a Hamiltonian with an average potential. The Hamiltonian structure is akin to the one found in Ref. 41. Observe that the term $\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{r})$ contains all the topological properties of the operator. Let us further simplify this Hamiltonian. Define $\psi_{ \pm}(\boldsymbol{r})=\psi_{1}(\boldsymbol{r}) \pm \psi_{2}(\boldsymbol{r})$. The Schrödinger equation is transformed into $H_{\text {eff }}\left(\psi_{+}(\boldsymbol{r}), \psi_{-}(\boldsymbol{r})\right)^{T}=$ $E^{2}\left(\psi_{+}(\boldsymbol{r}), \psi_{-}(\boldsymbol{r})\right)^{T}$. The stated effective Hamiltonian is,

$$
H_{\mathrm{eff}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\nabla^{2}+V_{\mathrm{eff}}(\boldsymbol{r}) & A_{\mathrm{eff}}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{r})  \tag{20}\\
A_{\mathrm{eff}}(\boldsymbol{r}) & -\nabla^{2}+V_{\mathrm{eff}}(\boldsymbol{r})
\end{array}\right)
$$

Here we defined the effective potentials as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\mathrm{eff}}(\boldsymbol{r})=\alpha^{2} \bar{V}(\boldsymbol{r})+\alpha h_{x}(\boldsymbol{r}) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\mathrm{eff}}(\boldsymbol{r})=\alpha^{2} h_{z}(\boldsymbol{r})+i \alpha h_{y}(\boldsymbol{r}) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the supplementary section, we show how this system can be simplified using triangular coordinates to map the problem into a friendly rectangular domain.

Conclusions We showed that the chiral Hamiltonian for twisted graphene bilayers can be written into a $2 \times 2$ matrix operator. The action of the proposed renormalization maps the zero-mode region into the ground state. Modes next to zero energy have an antibonding nature in a triangular lattice and at zero energy are similar to confined modes observed in many other bipartite systems [33-35]. A surprising result is that our renormalization suggests that flat-bands are somehow analogous to floppy modes in rigidity phonon models [35, 37, 42.
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